

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/683,941	03/05/2002	Syun Kyung Lee	201-0148 FGM	5497	
28866	7590 09/20/2004	90 09/20/2004		EXAMINER	
	AN, SOBANSKI & TO		-		
720 WATER STREET			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
TOLEDO, C	OH 43604				

DATE MAILED: 09/20/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Notification of Non-Compliance With 37 CFR 1.192(c)

Application No.	Applicant(s)	- 101
09/683,941	LEE ET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit	
Lee Lum	3611	

--The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address--

The Appeal Brief filed on <u>28 June 2004</u> is defective for failure to comply with one or more provisions of 37 CFR 1.192(c). See MPEP § 1206.

To avoid dismissal of the appeal, applicant must file IN TRIPLICATE a complete new brief in compliance with 37 CFR 1.192(c) within the longest of any of the following three TIME PERIODS: (1) ONE MONTH or THIRTY DAYS from the mailing date of this Notification, whichever is longer; (2) TWO MONTHS from the date of the notice of appeal; or (3) within the period for reply to the action from which this appeal was taken. EXTENSIONS OF THESE TIME PERIODS MAY BE GRANTED UNDER 37 CFR 1.136.

1.		The brief does not contain the items required under 37 CFR 1.192(c), or the items are not under the proper heading or in the proper order.
2.		The brief does not contain a statement of the status of all claims, pending or cancelled, or does not identify the appealed claims (37 CFR 1.192(c)(3)).
3.		At least one amendment has been filed subsequent to the final rejection, and the brief does not contain a statement of the status of each such amendment (37 CFR 1.192(c)(4)).
4.		The brief does not contain a concise explanation of the claimed invention, referring to the specification by page and line number and to the drawing, if any, by reference characters (37 CFR 1.192(c)(5)).
5.		The brief does not contain a concise statement of the issues presented for review (37 CFR 1.192(c)(6)).
6.		A single ground of rejection has been applied to two or more claims in this application, and
	(a)	the brief omits the statement required by 37 CFR 1.192(c)(7) that one or more claims do not stand or fall together, yet presents arguments in support thereof in the argument section of the brief.
	(b)	the brief includes the statement required by 37 CFR 1.192(c)(7) that one or more claims do not stand or fall together, yet does not present arguments in support thereof in the argument section of the brief.
7.		The brief does not present an argument under a separate heading for each issue on appeal (37 CFR 1.192(c)(8)).
8.	\boxtimes	The brief does not contain a correct copy of the appealed claims as an appendix thereto (37 CFR 1.192(c)(9)).
9.	\boxtimes	Other (including any explanation in support of the above items):

LESLEY D. MORRIS SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 3600

Lesley D Mori

See Continuation Sheet

Continuation of 9. Other (including any explanation in support of the above items):

The submitted Claims do not include Claims 11-17, nor arguments thereof. Also, the following comments: (1) The Brief filed 6/28/04 is further noncompliant because it does not include "Status of Amendments", (2) Re the Brief submitted 1/7/04, and under the section "Status of Amendments", Examiner reiterates that the After Final Amendment filed 1/5/04 was NOT entered. An Advisory Action was mailed 1/23/04 towards this effect.

Appellant is asked to note that new requirements re the Appeals process were effective on 9/13/04, and may affect future communications with respect to appeals. Examiner has not been informed as to these new rules.

Ms. Lee S. Lum Examiner 9/17/04